Caldor Fire Stormwater Impact Report



Andrea Buxton Tahoe Resource Conservation District

Alan Heyvaert Desert Research Institute

December 31, 2022

Introduction

The Caldor Fire began on August 14, 2021 near Little Mountain (Figure 1) in El Dorado County, California. The fire burned for 67 days with 100% containment occurring on October 21, 2021. It burned 221,835 acres in three counties; El Dorado, Amador, and Alpine. The cause is still under investigation but it was allegedly started by a projectile discharged from a firearm. The fire crested the ridgeline at Echo Summit into the Tahoe Basin on August 30, having traveled over 30 miles fueled by dry timber and high winds.

Fortunately, due to mechanical thinning and prescribed burns over the preceding decade that reduced the fuel load in the surrounding forests and tempered the fire's extreme behavior, firefighters were able to successfully defend all structures in South Lake Tahoe. However,



Figure 1: Caldor Fire scar. Origin of fire near Little Mountain represented by red circle with fire symbol.

approximately 35,000 acres of wildland in the Lake Tahoe Basin were consumed by the flames, destroying trees and other vegetation that otherwise minimize soil erosion in two primary watersheds, the Trout Creek watershed and the Upper Truckee River watershed. Steep slopes with denuded soil erode more easily and it is expected that post-fire precipitation events transported more soil through the watershed to Lake Tahoe, carrying nutrients and sediment particles that impacted the lake's already threatened clarity. Smoke and ash also deposited particles that jeopardize Lake Tahoe water quality. In early September, UC Davis Tahoe Environmental Research

Center measured 50 to 55 feet of clarity as opposed to the 65 feet they had been recording all summer due to a dramatic increase in particle concentrations. Smoke and ash were also deposited on solid surfaces, including urbanized and undeveloped land, where it could be mobilized during precipitation events and be transported to the Lake through streams and urban discharges. The objective was to determine whether urban runoff in the Tahoe Basin was enriched for elements characteristic of wildfire smoke and ash deposition events.



Figure 2: Map of sampling locations (red stars) and burn scar (red shading).

Study

Urban runoff event mean concentration (EMC) samples collected during or immediately after the Caldor Fire in September and October of 2021 were processed and analyzed for comparison to EMC urban runoff samples collected the following year (August 2022).

These EMC samples were collected at stormwater monitoring sites located in the Tahoe Basin. The sites are part of the Lake Tahoe Regional Stormwater Monitoring Program (RSWMP). Several types of runoff were sampled during six distinct runoff events. Two events, a thunderstorm and a frontal rain storm, occurred during active burning of the Caldor Fire on September 9-10, 2021 and October 7-8, 2021 respectively, although the fire was 91% contained by October 2nd. One event, a frontal mixed rain and snow storm, occurred on October 23-24, shortly after the fire was fully contained. A post-precipitation snowmelt event occurred October 25-28, 2021. For comparison, urban stormwater runoff samples were also taken during two thunderstorm events a year later on August 5, 2022 and August 17, 2022. Figure 2 shows the locations of the monitoring sites and the burn scar. Table 1 lists the locations that were monitored, their abbreviation on the map in Figure 2, the event type, in what period the event occurred, and the event date.

Monitoring	Мар			
Location	Abbreviation	Event Type	Event Period	Event Date
Tahoma	TA	thunderstorm	during fire	September 9-10, 2021
Tahoe City	TC	thunderstorm	during fire	September 9-10, 2021
Contech In	CI	thunderstorm	during fire	September 9-10, 2021
Jellyfish In	JI	thunderstorm	during fire	September 9-10, 2021
Speedboat	SB	thunderstorm	during fire	September 9-10, 2021
Contech In	CI	rain	during fire	October 7-8, 2021
Jellyfish In	JI	rain	during fire	October 7-8, 2021
Tahoe City	TC	rain	during fire	October 7-8, 2021
Tahoma	TA	rain	during fire	October 7-8, 2021
Tahoe Valley	TV	rain	during fire	October 7-8, 2021
Speedboat	SB	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Elks Club	EC*	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Elks Club	EC*	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Tahoe Valley	ΤV	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Upper Truckee	UT	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Pasadena Out	PO	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Lakeshore	LS	rain/snow	shortly after containment	October 21-24, 2021
Pasadena Out	PO	post-event snowmelt	shortly after containment	October 25-28, 2021
Tahoma	TA	thunderstorm	one year later	August 5, 2022
Tahoe City	TC	thunderstorm	one year later	August 5, 2022
Tahoe City	TC	thunderstorm	one year later	August 17, 2022
Contech In	CI	thunderstorm	one year later	August 17, 2022
Jellyfish In	JI	thunderstorm	one year later	August 17, 2022

Table 1: Urban stormwater sites that were monitored, site abbreviation on Figure 2, event type, event period, and event date.

*Two samples were taken at Elks Club between October 21 and October 24, 2021, this is not a repeat entry. (Also note that the Caldor Fire was 91% contained by October 2, 2021.)

Wildland fires produce pyrogenic organic materials like charcoal fragments, which retain some of the physical and mineral properties of the biomass source, as well as mineral ash from more complete combustion in the presence of oxygen and higher temperatures (>450°C) while the fire spreads rapidly (Bodi et al. 2014). The small, light-weight particles of mineral ash are widely dispersed and remain mobile after deposition with subsequent redistribution by wind or water, often within days or weeks of deposition (Bodi et al. 2014, Cerda and Doerr 2008).

Gaber and Bookter (2011) found that elemental composition of mineral ash from a Ponderosadominated forest wildfire consisted mainly of Ca, K, Mg, P, Mn, Zn and Fe. The mean particle density of this mineral ash was 2.5 \pm 0.6 g cm⁻² with a particle size range of about 1–1000 µm, a mean particle diameter of 100 µm, and 26 \pm 3% of particles less than 50 µm.

Results obtained by Harper (2019) on the composition of wildfire ash from various global sources found that total dry composition from digested ash samples was dominated by oxides and

hydroxides of Ca, Mg, Si, P, Al, Fe and Mn, along with lower concentrations of trace elements Zn, Cu, Pb, Ni, As and Cd. The concentration of Na was also high as a water-soluble component.

The Thomas Fire in Ventura County, California burned over 440 square miles in 2017, along with more than 1000 structures lost. When Wan et al. (2021) analyzed ash samples from orchards in that area they found significantly higher concentrations of Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn compared to concentrations from the underlying soil samples. In contrast, however, the As was not significantly different from the corresponding soil samples.

A characterization study of ashfall collected from the Caldor Fire in ash deposition buckets and from the ground around the Tahoe Basin by Brahey et al. (2022) looked at bioavailable nutrients (N and P) as well as some macronutrients (Na, K, Ca), micronutrients (Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) and toxicants (As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Pb) in water-extractable and easily-oxidizable fractions of the ash. They found that most of the macro and micronutrients tended be present at higher concentration in the water-extractable fraction of less pyrolized (charcoal) samples, although Ca and K were present at higher concentrations were found in the north west basin sites.

Methods

The elements of primary interest for this analysis of Caldor urban runoff samples were selected based on the references discussed above. These included magnesium (Mg), aluminum (Al), silica (Si), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), chromium (Cr), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), as well as sodium (Na) and arsenic (As). Several other elements of potential interest were included because they were present in the ICP-MS calibration solution and produced reliable results (e.g. lithium (Li), titanium (Ti), vanadium (V), cobalt (Co), molybdenum (Mo), barium (Ba), tungsten (W), cerium (Ce), and uranium (U).

Samples from ten different RSWMP runoff monitoring stations around the Tahoe Basin (Figure 2) were collected as event mean concentration samples from precipitation events during and after the Caldor Fire (Table 1). These samples spanned the time interval from September 9, 2021 through October 25, 2021 and were provided as sample volumes remaining after customary analyses by the RSWMP. Not all sites included repeat sampling or the necessary residual volumes for analyses described below. Runoff samples from August 2022 were also provided for these analyses from three of the sites to serve as comparison to the Caldor Fire ash deposition period from September and October of 2021.

Samples were received frozen in 500 mL or 1000 mL HDPE bottles and remained frozen at DRI until processed for analysis. Each of these samples was thawed, mixed well and then poured through a Teflon cone-splitter to create subsamples for separate analysis of specific conductivity (µS/cm), elemental composition by ICP-MS, and SEM imaging of particulates.

The electrical conductivity of each sample was measured as specific conductivity (SC) using an Oakton 2100 pH/EC meter, previously calibrated with three conductivity standards (Fisher Scientific) at 10 μ m/cm, 84 μ S/cm and 1413 μ S/cm.

Samples were prepared for ICP-MS by dispensing a 40 mL aliquot into trace-metal clean 50 mL centrifuge tubes (Sarstedt). These were acidified to pH <2 with 0.8 mL Optima grade nitric acid (Fisher Scientific), shaken and then stored in the refrigerator at 4°C until analysis. Prior to analysis the tubes were centrifuged at 2600 rpm (RCF of approx. 1000) for ten minutes, then representative aliquots were pipetted into acid-cleaned HDPE centrifuge tubes for 1:100 dilution with ultra-pure deionized water (18.2 Megohm). The sample solutions were analyzed for element concentration via inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, PerkinElmer Nexion 200). Procedural blanks remained below the lowest concentrations for all elements measured and reported in runoff samples, except for a few of the lowest concentrations of Mo. A custom standard solution was used for calibration (Inorganic Ventures), with a second set of standards used for verification (Certiprep). Sample concentrations of As were almost all below the average of procedural blanks, so were not included in the results shown here.

The microscopic features of some ash samples were characterized using scanning electron microscope (Hitachi TM4000plus) equipped with an Oxford energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) detector. Samples were dried and mounted with carbon tabs onto aluminum SEM stubs (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for imaging and analysis.

Results

Twenty-three EMC samples were analyzed for total suspended solids (TSS), turbidity, fine sediment particles less than 16 microns in diameter (FSP), nitrate plus nitrite (NO₃+NO₂), total nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP). The EMCs are presented in Table 2. The analytes in Table 2 are the standard suite of analytes used to determine pollutant levels in RSWMP stormwater samples, therefore data exists from all EMC samples taken under regular RSWMP monitoring during two water years (water year 2021: October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2021 and water year 2022: October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022) to compare to the 23 priority EMC samples listed in Table 2 used for this study. The charts for TSS, FSP, TN, and TP for each site over the two-year period are included in Appendix A, Figures A1 – A40. Red circles on these charts indicate priority events (EMC samples) chosen at each site for this study.

Table 2: Event mean concentration results for the 23 samples included in this study. EMCs include runoff volume (cubic feet), total suspended sediment (mg/L), turbidity (NTU), fine sediment particles less than 16 um (mg/L), nitrate + nitrite (mg/L), total Kjeldahl nitrogen (mg/L), total nitrogen (mg/L), and total phosphorus (mg/L).

			Runoff	TSS		FSP				
			Volume	>1.5µm	Turbidity	<16µm	NO3+NO2		TN	ТР
Location	Sample Start	Sample End	(cf)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
Tahoma	9/9/2021 22:18	9/10/2021 3:26	195	280	263.0	136.4	1.794	14.491	16.285	2.194
Tahoe City	9/9/2021 23:33	9/10/2021 5:23	1,096	622	771.0	376.5	1.464	10.398	11.862	3.125
Contech In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:17	232	424	438.0	251.5	0.505	10.690	11.195	2.131
Jellyfish In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:59	302	460	394.0	259.8	0.482	8.923	9.405	2.087
Speedboat	9/10/2021 4:35	9/10/2021 4:11	15	1,798	998.0	692.5	0.161	16.909	17.070	5.434
Contech In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:27	77	206	243.0	88.4	0.036	6.211	6.247	0.998
Jellyfish In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:50	230	230	239.0	93.9	0.030	5.430	5.460	1.372
Tahoe City	10/8/2021 3:23	10/8/2021 8:31	932	46	45.2	0.5	1.161	3.746	4.907	0.617
Tahoma	10/8/2021 3:58	10/8/2021 8:11	622	83	57.5	5.2	0.015	3.847	3.862	1.036
Tahoe Valley	10/8/2021 6:06	10/8/2021 6:06	44	171	191.0	63.1	0.096	5.376	5.472	1.328
Speedboat	10/21/2021 21:11	10/22/2021 12:55	4,776	71	43.3	21.2	0.010	2.392	2.402	0.578
Elks Club	10/22/2021 9:55	10/22/2021 17:53	575	39	32.3	0.3	0.094	1.509	1.603	0.941
Elks Club	10/23/2021 22:16	10/25/2021 3:00	44,686	32	17.7	7.7	0.011	0.569	0.580	0.277
Tahoe Valley	10/23/2021 23:18	10/25/2021 8:55	815,931	38	24.8	4.7	0.007	0.951	0.958	0.402
Upper Truckee	10/23/2021 23:55	10/24/2021 23:26	66,473	55	36.1	17.3	0.010	0.994	1.004	0.376
Pasadena Out	10/24/2021 5:55	10/25/2021 3:10	113,855	80	47.4	9.2	0.257	3.453	3.710	0.693
Lakeshore	10/24/2021 8:11	10/25/2021 1:05	14,539	24	21.7	13.6	0.080	0.905	0.985	0.258
Pasadena Out	10/25/21 11:13	10/28/2021 20:46	9,911	33	36.8	10.5	0.059	1.407	1.466	0.446
Tahoma	8/5/22 7:01	8/5/2022 17:16	542	134	93.4	38.9	0.440	5.799	6.239	1.206
Tahoe City	8/5/22 7:10	8/5/2022 12:46	1,516	134	118.0	66.5	1.139	5.561	6.700	1.059
Tahoe City	8/17/22 20:05	8/17/2022 22:43	538	76	69.5	2.0	2.626	3.538	6.164	0.676
Contech In	8/17/22 20:22	8/17/2022 20:55	108	722	529.0	355.0	0.263	4.121	4.384	1.551
Jellyfish In	8/17/22 20:23	8/17/2022 20:55	101	714	541.0	335.1	0.288	4.198	4.486	1.704

The results for SC, Li, Na, Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Mo, Cd, Ba, W, Pb, Ce, and U for the 23 EMC samples chosen for this study are shown in Table 3 for all sites. Charts for SC, Na, Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Ba, Pb, Ce, and U are included in Appendix B, Figures B1 – B40 for the two sites that had at least three samples in this study (Tahoma and Tahoe City only).

Table 3: Specific conductance and ICP-MS results for the 23 samples included in this study. ICP-MS results include concentrations of Li, Na, Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Mo, Cd, Ba, W, Pb, Ce, and U.

Location	Sample Start	Sample End	SC (µS/cm)	Li (ppb)	Na (ppb)	Mg (ppb)	Al (ppb)	Si (ppb)	K (ppb)	Ca (ppb)
Tahoma	9/9/2021 22:18	9/10/2021 3:26	526	7.01	67,465	5,973	2,892	3,387	11,815	24,591
Tahoe City	9/9/2021 23:33	9/10/2021 5:23	431	6.62	69,196	4,933	5,929	7,324	4,865	14,296
Contech In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:17	1,087	7.81	189,457	3,120	5,795	7,428	3,893	22,553
Jellyfish In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:59	981	11.3	188,884	2,962	5,602	7,778	3,918	21,719
Speedboat	9/10/2021 4:35	9/10/2021 4:11	178	10.6	7,405	8,918	11,692	9,824	9,296	24,271
Contech In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:27	1,892	8.21	338,259	3,034	3,207	6,321	3,829	23,057
Jellyfish In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:50	1,681	6.81	307,321	2,709	2,813	6,431	3,408	21,119
Tahoe City	10/8/2021 3:23	10/8/2021 8:31	231	2.53	38,842	745	929	2,351	1,713	2,552
Tahoma	10/8/2021 3:58	10/8/2021 8:11	153	3.17	21,906	1,429	763	1,544	6,808	4,869
Tahoe Valley	10/8/2021 6:06	10/8/2021 6:06	147	4.86	11,822	3,628	3,323	3,540	5,376	16,196
Speedboat	10/21/2021 21:11	10/22/2021 12:55	79	1.79	12,093	701	869	1,620	2,538	2,591
Elks Club	10/22/2021 9:55	10/22/2021 17:53	224	2.95	30,799	2,905	465	3,380	3,635	9,378
Elks Club	10/23/2021 22:16	10/25/2021 3:00	48	0.55	4,770	657	34	1,352	1,019	2,628
Tahoe Valley	10/23/2021 23:18	10/25/2021 8:55	36	0.76	4,789	571	517	980	1,959	2,124
Upper Truckee	10/23/2021 23:55	10/24/2021 23:26	58	1.10	7,911	502	516	1,450	955	2,860
Pasadena Out	10/24/2021 5:55	10/25/2021 3:10	46	6.67	2,490	1,268	1,300	1,387	4,518	4,242
Lakeshore	10/24/2021 8:11	10/25/2021 1:05	67	2.15	6,154	1,503	142	3,448	3,715	3,387
Pasadena Out	10/25/21 11:13	10/28/2021 20:46	42	1.17	3,097	1,078	371	1,505	2,547	3,742
Tahoma	8/5/22 7:01	8/5/2022 17:16	195	4.04	27,560	1,752	1,841	1,840	5,257	7,571
Tahoe City	8/5/22 7:10	8/5/2022 12:46	508	4.44	84,299	2,470	1,953	3,372	3,057	8,911
Tahoe City	8/17/22 20:05	8/17/2022 22:43	391	9.41	68,068	1,751	952	5,021	2,137	5,486
Contech In	8/17/22 20:22	8/17/2022 20:55	2,007	58.5	317,717	5,530	7,603	41,872	6,244	154,391
Jellyfish In	8/17/22 20:23	8/17/2022 20:55	2,063	56.4	296,882	5,322	7,580	41,311	6,040	152,394

Table 3 continued:

Location	Sample Start	Sample End	Ti (ppb)	Cr (ppb)	Mn (ppb)	Fe (ppb)	Co (ppb)	Ni (npb)	Cu (ppb)	Zn (ppb)
Tahoma	9/9/2021 22:18	9/10/2021 3:26	85.9	3.83	614	4 805	11.2	43.8	34.4	318
Tahoe City	9/9/2021 23:33	9/10/2021 5:23	154	7.66	284	4.221	12.4	31.7	52.4	305
Contech In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:17	100	5.86	414	3.151	7.53	24.0	46.1	185
Jellvfish In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:59	104	10.9	408	2.688	8.84	25.6	46.9	194
Speedboat	9/10/2021 4:35	9/10/2021 4:11	354	12.1	1,181	16,436	21.1	43.8	76.1	908
Contech In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:27	70.6	5.07	275	1,922	4.98	16.3	25.8	61.5
Jellyfish In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:50	68.7	4.61	254	2,081	4.38	14.6	27.6	147
Tahoe City	10/8/2021 3:23	10/8/2021 8:31	17.3	13.1	28.9	507	1.71	9.41	21.6	84.0
Tahoma	10/8/2021 3:58	10/8/2021 8:11	15.2	2.00	124	880	2.30	12.3	10.4	95.7
Tahoe Valley	10/8/2021 6:06	10/8/2021 6:06	85.5	5.32	590	3,410	6.71	20.0	35.7	216
Speedboat	10/21/2021 21:11	10/22/2021 12:55	24.4	8.06	58.7	497	1.34	3.54	19.6	54.4
Elks Club	10/22/2021 9:55	10/22/2021 17:53	18.5	0.85	229	400	1.13	5.23	7.89	19.7
Elks Club	10/23/2021 22:16	10/25/2021 3:00	5.9	0.03	42.0	133	0.39	1.68	2.07	6.93
Tahoe Valley	10/23/2021 23:18	10/25/2021 8:55	10.0	3.88	65.1	341	0.71	2.42	6.46	50.7
Upper Truckee	10/23/2021 23:55	10/24/2021 23:26	20.4	3.26	61.6	434	0.89	3.06	6.08	27.2
Pasadena Out	10/24/2021 5:55	10/25/2021 3:10	23.2	17.2	114	728	1.70	4.84	19.8	159
Lakeshore	10/24/2021 8:11	10/25/2021 1:05	10.4	3.22	41.8	602	1.82	34.3	6.92	88.8
Pasadena Out	10/25/21 11:13	10/28/2021 20:46	14.9	2.15	44.9	336	0.44	2.88	4.41	7.08
Tahoma	8/5/22 7:01	8/5/2022 17:16	42.8	4.01	238	1,960	4.29	15.5	20.3	280
Tahoe City	8/5/22 7:10	8/5/2022 12:46	61.2	38.9	145	1,392	5.27	15.6	35.3	202
Tahoe City	8/17/22 20:05	8/17/2022 22:43	39.3	29.0	53.2	770	6.70	15.9	28.7	178
Contech In	8/17/22 20:22	8/17/2022 20:55	436	27.6	661	7,926	11.7	43.3	34.1	189
Jellyfish In	8/17/22 20:23	8/17/2022 20:55	447	27.0	693	7,906	11.2	42.5	34.6	164

Table 3 continued:

Location	Sample Start	Sample End	As (nnh)	Mo (ppb)	Cd (nnh)	Ba (nnh)	W (nnh)	Ph (nh)	Ce (nnh)	II (nnh)
Tahoma	0/0/2021 22:18	9/10/2021 3:26	AS (PPD)	4 20	0.16	131	2 37	5 12	10.5	0 (ppb)
Tahoo Citu	9/9/2021 22.10	9/10/2021 3.20	0.00	4.20	0.10	1.10	2.37	0.70	10.5	0.00
Tanoe City	9/9/2021 23:33	9/10/2021 5:23	0.39	2.71	0.38	148	12.9	8.78	31.9	0.83
Contech In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:17	0.00	14.0	0.31	130	1.65	8.69	28.0	1.08
Jellyfish In	9/9/2021 23:43	9/10/2021 4:59	11.2	14.0	5.41	133	1.54	12.0	31.9	3.67
Speedboat	9/10/2021 4:35	9/10/2021 4:11	0.86	0.30	1.12	438	1.05	53.2	61.5	1.76
Contech In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:27	3.05	9.00	0.25	80.4	1.73	4.93	13.1	0.89
Jellyfish In	10/7/2021 11:11	10/8/2021 4:50	2.09	8.28	0.21	71.2	1.61	4.40	13.7	0.75
Tahoe City	10/8/2021 3:23	10/8/2021 8:31	0.00	1.03	0.06	19.9	10.9	1.04	2.71	0.18
Tahoma	10/8/2021 3:58	10/8/2021 8:11	0.00	0.00	0.12	28.8	0.68	1.89	2.40	0.44
Tahoe Valley	10/8/2021 6:06	10/8/2021 6:06	0.00	3.08	0.13	171	0.94	15.6	12.5	2.10
Speedboat	10/21/2021 21:11	10/22/2021 12:55	0.00	0.15	0.07	29.4	1.24	6.56	3.49	0.20
Elks Club	10/22/2021 9:55	10/22/2021 17:53	0.00	0.66	0.08	73.4	0.97	1.07	2.66	0.35
Elks Club	10/23/2021 22:16	10/25/2021 3:00	0.00	0.07	0.09	14.4	0.97	0.52	1.10	0.14
Tahoe Valley	10/23/2021 23:18	10/25/2021 8:55	0.00	0.22	0.61	17.4	1.38	3.09	1.80	0.25
Upper Truckee	10/23/2021 23:55	10/24/2021 23:26	0.00	0.32	0.10	25.0	0.67	2.13	2.29	0.15
Pasadena Out	10/24/2021 5:55	10/25/2021 3:10	6.85	0.00	0.90	29.9	0.33	6.80	3.53	2.15
Lakeshore	10/24/2021 8:11	10/25/2021 1:05	0.00	1.18	0.21	17.0	1.40	1.15	0.92	1.86
Pasadena Out	10/25/21 11:13	10/28/2021 20:46	0.00	0.14	0.07	16.8	0.72	2.79	1.27	0.22
Tahoma	8/5/22 7:01	8/5/2022 17:16	0.00	0.50	0.20	56.6	1.66	2.81	5.72	0.35
Tahoe City	8/5/22 7:10	8/5/2022 12:46	1.38	3.16	0.19	85.0	8.86	2.76	8.42	0.33
Tahoe City	8/17/22 20:05	8/17/2022 22:43	0.80	2.63	13.3	49.5	9.34	7.01	13.8	5.02
Contech In	8/17/22 20:22	8/17/2022 20:55	9.79	13.0	0.41	249	4.25	25.4	31.7	2.19
Jellyfish In	8/17/22 20:23	8/17/2022 20:55	11.8	14.2	0.39	254	3.93	25.5	31.3	2.12

Concentrations of major, minor and several trace elements were elevated in urban runoff around the Tahoe Basin during the active Caldor wildfire period. These concentrations dropped rapidly as the fire was contained and remained low during subsequent sampling at sites revisited in August of 2022. It should be noted, however, that results from samples collected at the CI and JI sites in 2022 were not used for this comparison because of extensive road repairs, excavation, construction and paving in progress along SR 431 above these sites when the samples were collected in August 2022.

Total suspended solids (TSS), fine sediment particles (FSP <20 µm), and turbidity are all closely related characteristics that collectively show how particulate concentrations were elevated during the fire but rapidly decreased as fire containment efforts progressed through October 2021. Elevated concentrations from wildfire deposition were particularly evident for AI, Ca, Ti, V, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Ba, and Ce. Examples are shown in Figure 3. Although not shown below, Ca demonstrated a particularly large range of concentrations in the second sampling period during early October 2021, but decreased thereafter.

Although the concentrations of Mg, Si, K, Mn, Zn, and Pb also dropped as fire containment was near or at completion, there was more overlap with concentrations seen during earlier sampling periods. The concentrations of Li, Na, Cr, Mo, Cd, Cs, W, and U in runoff samples did not show definitive decreased concentrations subsequent to the wildfire, suggesting that these elements were not much enhanced by mineral ash deposition and washoff during precipitation events. Some examples from both these cases are shown in Figure 4. The boxplots for Na and SC suggest that concentrations of elements with high ionic solubility did not change much with wildfire containment.



Figure 3: Boxplots for event runoff volumes and event concentrations enriched by Caldor Fire ash deposition. Data represent ten sites and 21 samples collected over 4 different sampling periods: (1) during the Caldor Fire, (2 and 3) near final containment, and (4) in the subsequent year.



Figure 4: Boxplots for runoff event concentrations that were not significantly enriched by Caldor Fire ash deposition. Data represent ten sites and 21 samples collected over 4 different sampling periods: (1) during the Caldor Fire, (2 and 3) near final containment, and (4) in the subsequent year.

A principal component analysis was conducted on the full set of samples (excluding two suspect samples from CI and JI in 2022). Variables were log-normalized and then standardized by centering to their respective medians and scaling by their standard deviations. Although the number of samples (n=21) was low for the number of variables introduced (8), introducing potential issues with dimensionality, the PCA results demonstrate that most variability in these concentrations appears to be associated with event runoff volumes, relative solubility and particle sorption characteristics (Figure 5). For log-transformed variables included in this graph, the first component explains almost 65% of the total data variability, and the second component explains another 18%. The five samples collected during the first runoff event (September 2021, while the fire was still active) are clustered near the high score range of the first principal component.



Figure 5: Ordination in the first two axes (PC1 and PC2) resulting from principal component analysis of log-transformed Caldor Fire urban runoff sample data. The darker highlighted points in the left hand plot indicate samples collected while the fire was actively burning in the Lake Tahoe basin (September 2021). SC is specific (electrical) conductivity.

Two SEM images of representative ash samples from Caldor Fire in urban runoff samples are shown in Figure 6. Overall, this ash was primarily comprised of carbon (C), oxygen (O), and silicon dioxide (SiO₂) when evaluated by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy. In many cases, though not shown here, the overall composition of larger particles included inorganic soil particles and unidentified organic fragments embedded within the char or ash particle.



Figure 6: Scanning electron microscope images of Caldor Fire ash samples in urban runoff from Tahoma demonstrating characteristic morphological and compositional features of ash at two magnifications (100x and 1000x). Size scales are provided in the bottom right corner of each panel showing (left) 500 µm and (right) 50 µm overall (with hash marks at every 1/10 distance).

Conclusion

Consistent with other projects, elevated concentrations of several elements associated with smoke and ash were detected in samples taken during the Caldor Fire. These concentrations dropped quickly as containment of the wildfire progressed in the Tahoe Basin. Likewise, the concentrations of suspended sediments, fine sediment particles ($<20 \mu$ m), and nutrients were generally higher in the samples taken during the fire. This indicates that the Caldor Fire had a relatively transitory impact on urban stormwater quality but likely delivered pollutants into Lake Tahoe, contributing to changes documented by rapid-response investigations on lake ecology and aquatic conditions conducted during and after entry of the Caldor Fire into the Tahoe Basin (Chandra et al. 2022).

References

Bodi, M. B., D. A. Martin, V. N. Balfour, C. Santin, S. H. Doerr, P. Pereira, A. Cerda, and J. Mataix-Solera. 2014. Wildland fire ash: Production, composition and eco-hydro-geomorphic effects. Earth-Science Reviews, 130: 103–127.

Cerda, A., and S.H. Doerr. 2008. The effect of ash and needle cover on surface runoff and erosion in the immediate post-fire period. Catena, 74: 256–263.

Chandra, S., F. Scordo, E. Suenaga, J. Blazsczak, C. Seitz, E. Carlson, K. Loria, J. Brahney, S. Sadro, G. Schladow, A. Forrest, K. Larrieu, S. Watanabe, A. Heyvaert, C. Williamson, E. Overholt. 2022. Impacts of Smoke-Ash from the 2021 Wildfires to the Ecology of Lake Tahoe. Final Report to the Tahoe Science Advisory Committee.

Gaber, E., and A. Bookter. 2011. Physical, chemical and hydrological properties of Ponderosa pine ash. International Journal of Wildland Fire, 20: 443–452.

Harper, A., C. Santin, S. Doerr, C. Froyd, D. Albini, X. Otero, L. Vinas, and B. Perez-Fernandez. 2019. Chemical composition of wildfire ash produced in contrasting ecosystems and its toxicity to Daphnia magna. International Journal of Wildland Fire, 28: 726–737.

Wan, X., C. Li, and S.J. Parikh. 2021. Chemical composition of soil-associated ash from the southern California Thomas Fire and its potential inhalation risks to farmworkers. Journal of Environmental Management 278:111570.

Brahey, S., S. Chandra, E. Suenaga, F. Scordo, C. Seitz. 2022. In: Impacts of Smoke-Ash from the 2021 Wildfires to the Ecology of Lake Tahoe (Chandra, S., F. Scordo, E. Suenaga, J. Blazsczak, C. Seitz, E. Carlson, K. Loria, J. Brahney, S. Sadro, G. Schladow, A. Forrest, K. Larrieu, S. Watanabe, A. Heyvaert, C. Williamson, E. Overholt). Final Report to the Tahoe Science Advisory Committee.

Appendix A

Tahoma



Figure A1: Total suspended solid concentrations at Tahoma for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A2: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Tahoma for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A3: Total nitrogen concentrations at Tahoma for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A4: Total phosphorus concentrations at Tahoma for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Tahoe City



Figure A5: Total suspended solid concentrations at Tahoe City for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A6: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Tahoe City for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A7: Total nitrogen concentrations at Tahoe City for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A8: Total phosphorous concentrations at Tahoe City for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Contech Inflow



Figure A9: Total suspended solid concentrations at Contech Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A10: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Contech Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A11: Total nitrogen concentrations at Contech Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A12: Total phosphorous concentrations at Contech Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Jellyfish Inflow



Figure A13: Total suspended solid concentrations at Jellyfish Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A14: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Jellyfish Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A15: Total nitrogen concentrations at Jellyfish Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A16: Total phosphorous concentrations at Jellyfish Inflow for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Speedboat



Figure A17: Total suspended solid concentrations at Speedboat for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A18: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Speedboat for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A19: Total nitrogen concentrations at Speedboat for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A20: Total phosphorous concentrations at Speedboat for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Tahoe Valley



Figure A21: Total suspended solid concentrations at Tahoe Valley for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A22: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Tahoe Valley for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A23: Total nitrogen concentrations at Tahoe Valley for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A24: Total phosphorous concentrations at Tahoe Valley for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Elks Club



Figure A25: Total suspended solid concentrations at Elks Club for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A26: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Elks Club for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A27: Total nitrogen concentrations at Elks Club for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A28: Total phosphorous concentrations at Elks Club for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Pasadena



Figure A29: Total suspended solid concentrations at Pasadena for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A30: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Pasadena for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A31: Total nitrogen concentrations at Pasadena for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A32: Total phosphorous concentrations at Pasadena for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Upper Truckee



Figure A33: Total suspended solid concentrations at Upper Truckee for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A34: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Upper Truckee for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A35: Total nitrogen concentrations at Upper Truckee for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A36: Total phosphorous concentrations at Upper Truckee for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Lakeshore



Figure A37: Total suspended solid concentrations at Lakeshore for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A38: Fine sediment particle concentrations at Lakeshore for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A39: Total nitrogen concentrations at Lakeshore for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.



Figure A40: Total phosphorous concentrations at Lakeshore for all sampled events in water years 2021 and 2022. Red circles indicate priority samples included in this study.

Appendix B

Tahoma



Figure B1: Specific conductance at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B2: Sodium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B3: Magnesium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B4: Aluminum concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B5: Silica concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B6: Potassium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B7: Calcium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B8: Titanium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B9: Vanadium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B10: Chromium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B11: Manganese concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B12: Iron concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B13: Cobalt concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B14: Nickel concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B15: Copper concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B16: Zinc concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B17: Barium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B18: Lead concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B19: Cerium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B20: Uranium concentration at Tahoma for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), and August 5, 2022 (one year later).

Tahoe City



Figure B21: Specific conductance at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B22: Sodium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B23: Magnesium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B24: Aluminum concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B25: Silica concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B26: Potassium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B27: Calcium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B28: Titanium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B29: Vanadium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B30: Chromium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B31: Manganese concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B32: Iron concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B33: Cobalt concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B34: Nickel concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B35: Copper concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B36: Zinc concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B37: Barium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B38: Lead at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B39: Cerium at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).



Figure B40: Uranium concentration at Tahoe City for the events from this site included in the study. Samples were taken September 9, 2021 (during fire), October 8, 2021 (during fire), August 5, 2022 (one year later), and August 17, 2022 (one year later).