

MEETING REPORT

DATE & TIME	July 17, 2017 (10:00am -12:00pm)
ATTENDANCE LIST	Russ Wigart (EDC), Jason Burke (CSLT), Eunice Romero (CSLT), Drew Jack (Truckee), Geoff Schladow (UCD TERC), Zach Wood (Alpine), Chad Praul (EI), Andrea Buxton (TRCD), Maso Matlow (EI), Jason Drew (NCE), Marcy Kamarath (NCE)

MEETING GOAL

TAC members to understand SRP approach and key content so they can provide feedback to validate and improve the SRP outline.

DECISIONS

1. Project lists in the standard SRP will be by jurisdiction. Project list in the enhanced SRP will cover the whole Tahoe Basin.
2. New projects must provide necessary benefit quantification in all 5 SRP benefit categories and use SRP benefit quantification methods to be included in prioritized list. Additionally, implementers are responsible for providing project data, funding source, and estimate of funding needs for each project to be included in prioritized list(s).

ACTION ITEMS

ACTION	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	DEADLINE
• Share letter of non-concurrence from State Water Board with development team.	Burke	complete
• Review and comment on SRP outline.	TAC members	7/28/17
• Discuss quantification methods through individual call or meeting	Project team & TAC	8/18/17

KEY POINTS

IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION OF PROJECTS

- Initial expectations are that EDC will have about 6-10 projects, CSLT will have about 1-10 projects, Alpine will have about 1-5 projects, Truckee will have about 0-5 projects.
- Several discussion points around the implementation phase of projects still exist:
 - Project phase (early conceptual development, engineering design and environmental permitting, acquisition, implementation) should be indicated in list, but how project phase impacts points awarded still needs to be determined.

QUANTIFICATION METHODS

- The Rational Method used by EDC could provide an easy to use method for quantifying early stage project benefits and for project benefits outside the Basin (to avoid using PLRM).
 - Alpine County does not do a lot of stormwater projects and therefore have no set benefit quantification methods. Additionally, the Town of Truckee was not required to quantify project benefits for previous plans. Therefore, Alpine County, the Town of Truckee, and other

jurisdictions in the Region may use the Rational Method or PLRM for the quantification of SRP benefits.

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY AND SCHEDULE

- The primary documents providing implementation strategies are the IRWM, the Lake Tahoe TMDL, the EIP Plan, and the PLRPs.
 - Truckee also has the Truckee Master Plan (not specific to water quality), Truckee River Operating Plan (TROA – water quantity), and Placer County’s Water Quality Management Plan.
 - Alpine County also has the Lahontan Basin Plan, the Carson Groundwater Basin Management Plan, and the Alpine Decree. The Cason Water Quality Management Plan is in development.
- The primary document providing adaptive management strategies outside the basin is the IRWM.
 - Truckee also has a monitoring and adaptive management chapter in the Placer Co. Plan.
 - Alpine County also has the 2004 Carson River Assessment.

EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

- DACs in Truckee and Alpine County include mobile home parks.
- Small bands/communities of the Washoe Tribe are DACs in Alpine County.
- Alpine County will post public SRP meeting notices at local libraries.

MEETING AGENDA

Time	Description	Lead
10:00	Introduction and Objectives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brief Introductions • Understand motivation for SRP development 	Chad P.
10:30	Play Jeopardy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Play game to understand the key content of each section of the outline • Through game, provide feedback on outline content as needed 	Maso M.
11:30	Receive outline for review <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Understand outline format • Understand what to provide feedback on and how 	Maso M.
11:45	Wrap-up and Depart	Andrea B.